National Science Foundation (NSF) – Submission Checklist

Please review program specific solicitation for compliance and completeness. <u>Guidelines in the solicitation may supplement or deviate from the guidelines below.</u>

GENERAL INFORMATION		
UNT GCA Internal deadline	6 full business days prior to sponsor deadline or planned submission date	
Award notification	Typically, at least 6 months after sponsor deadline.	
Grant Proposal Guide	Review the NSF Proposal and Award Policies and Procedures Guide (PAPPG) for full detail on proposal preparation: https://nsf-gov-resources.nsf.gov/files/nsf24_1.pdf Please Note: Requirement for Responsible and Ethical Conduct of Research (RCER) requirement	
Research.gov/NSF Registration	NSF ID required for all PIs/Co-PIs. Contact your GCA Specialist if you do not have one.	
Link Collaborative Proposal	The Non-Lead Collaborator will need to provide their Temporary Proposal Number from Research.gov to the Lead Institution. The Lead Institution will use this information to link the proposals together in Research.gov.	

FORMATTING GUIDELINES		
Document format	PDF (except Collaborators & Other Affiliations – excel)	
Font type/size	 Arial, Courier New, Palatino Linotype - 10 or larger; Times New Roman - 11 or larger; Computer Modern family of fonts – 11 or larger Smaller font may be used for figures, tables or diagram captions 	
Line spacing	No more than 6 lines of type within a vertical space of 1 inchOnly single column formatting	
Page size	8.5" x 11" – Portrait or Landscape Orientation	
Margins	1.0" all sides	
Page Numbers	Do not include page numbers; Research.gov will automatically paginate	

PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS				
1		Cover Sheet – fillable – mark all applicable checkboxes (i.e. off-campus/site research) PAPPG II.D.2.a		
2		Proposal Summary (Abstract) - 1 page limit		
		▼ Three separate, distinct headings. Headings must be on their own line with no other text:		
		 Overview- Description of the activity that would result if the proposal were funded and 		
		a statement of objectives and methods to be employed		
		 Intellectual Merit- describe the potential of the proposed activity to advance knowledge 		
		 Broader Impacts- describe the potential of the proposed activity to benefit society and 		
		contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes		
3		Table of Contents – system generated		
4		Project Description – 15 page limit		
		✓ Must contain a separate section within the description labeled "Broader Impacts", which must		
		appear as a heading on its own line.		
		✓ No URLs should be used		
		✓ Description of the work to be performed by any subawards should be included.		
		✓ Results from Prior NSF Support is required (up to 5 pages of the 15 page Project Description)		

National Science Foundation (NSF) – Submission Checklist

	1	
		 Must include all NSF funding regardless of whether there is salary or whether the project is directly related to the proposal.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Add information for any current NSF funding (including no cost extensions) or any award
		with an end date in the past 5 years. If more than one award, only need to report the one
		most closely related to the project.
		 Required for each PI and Co-PI regardless of whether the support was directly related to
		the proposal or not.
		 Must include following information:
		NSF award number, amount & period of support
		Title of project
		 Summary of Results. Description of Intellectual Merit and Broader Impact activities
		must be separately described <i>under two distinct headings</i>
		 Complete bibliographic citation for each publication in either the Results from Prior
		NSF Support section or in the References Cited – if none, state "No publications
		were produced under this award".
		 Evidence of Research Products and their availability
		·
_		If proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to completed work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support, a description of the relation to complete work Proposal is for renewed support with the relation to complete work in the relation of the relation to complete work in the relation of the relation to complete work in the relation of the relation to the relation of the r
5	Ш	References – no page limit
		✓ Include names of all authors (no et al.), article & journal title, book title, volume number, page
		numbers & year of publication
		✓ If no references, a statement to that effect should be uploaded
6		Budget – Fillable
		✓ As a general policy, NSF limits the salary compensation requested in the proposal budget for
		senior personnel to no more than two months of their regular salary in any one year (2 person
		months).
7		Budget Justification – 5 page limit
		✓ Must define and consistently apply UNT's definition of a "year" (GCA has standard statement)
8		Facilities, Equipment, & Other Resources – no page limit
		✓ See PAPPG II.D.2.g. for content requirements
		✓ If no facilities, a statement to that effect should be uploaded
		✓ Should not include any quantifiable information
		✓ Any substantial collaboration with individuals not included in the budget should be described in
		the Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources section.
		 Collaboration Letters should be provided in the Supplementary Documents section.
9		Biosketch – no page limit *Updated Document Requirements*
		▼ Required for all PIs/Co-PIs/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(i))
		✓ Must be created through the use of SciENcv. Biosketches generated through SciENcv should not
		be edited after downloaded. If edits are needed, changes should be made in SciENcv and
		biosketch should be regenerated.
		 Professional Preparation – including postdoctoral and fellowship training
		 Appointments, beginning with current appointment
		 Products (10 total- 5 products closely related and 5 other significant products)
		No Personal information should be included
10		Current & Pending Support – no page limit
10		 ✓ Required for all PIs/Co-PIs/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(ii))
		 ✓ Required for all Fis/Co-Fis/Sethol Fersonner (<u>FAFFO II.D.2.II.(III)</u>) ✓ Must be provided through the use of <u>SciENcv</u>.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		✓ Must include the proposed project as pending

National Science Foundation (NSF) – Submission Checklist

Collaborators & Other Affiliations – no page limit		 kind contributions must be listed. NSF has FAQs regarding current and pending support can be found here. ✓ A brief statement of the overall objectives of the project/proposal being proposed or in-kind contribution must be provided. The submission also should summarize potential overlap with any active or pending proposal or in-kind contribution and this proposal in terms of scope,
# Required for all PIs/Co-PIs/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(iii)) # Must use NSF excel template: NSF COA Template Synergistic Activities - 1 page limit *New Document Requirement* Required for all PIs/Co-PIs/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(iv)) List of up to five distinct examples that demonstrates the broader impact of the individual's professional and scholarly activities that focus on the integration and transfer of knowledge as well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) - 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan - 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable)	11	
Synergistic Activities - 1 page limit *New Document Requirement* Required for all Pls/Co-Pls/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(iv)) List of up to five distinct examples that demonstrates the broader impact of the individual's professional and scholarly activities that focus on the integration and transfer of knowledge as well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) - 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Poscribe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan - 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format Office of Collaboration - If applicable,		
Synergistic Activities – 1 page limit *New Document Requirement* Required for all PIs/Co-PIs/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(iv)) List of up to five distinct examples that demonstrates the broader impact of the individual's professional and scholarly activities that focus on the integration and transfer of knowledge as well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) – 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable)		
Required for all PIs/Co-PIs/Senior Personnel (PAPPG II.D.2.h.(iv)) List of up to five distinct examples that demonstrates the broader impact of the individual's professional and scholarly activities that focus on the integration and transfer of knowledge as well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) − 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan − 2 page limit Data Management and Sharing Plan − 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit − single sentence format Office of the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." Office of the proposal of the proposal of the proposal of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the Pl are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. A list of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers	12	
# List of up to five distinct examples that demonstrates the broader impact of the individual's professional and scholarly activities that focus on the integration and transfer of knowledge as well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) − 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan − 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit - single sentence format O "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." O The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. A list of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of Suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review t		
professional and scholarly activities that focus on the integration and transfer of knowledge as well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) — 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. 14 Data Management and Sharing Plan — 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15 Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration — If applicable, 1 page limit — single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include — optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		
## Well as its creation. Mentoring Plan (if applicable) − 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan − 2 page limit		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mentoring Plan (if applicable) – 1 page limit *Updated Document Requirements* Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan − 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit − single sentence format 'If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		
Required if funding is requested to support postdocs or graduate students (PAPPG II.D.2.i.) Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested Reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review	12	
Describe the mentoring that will be provided to all postdoctoral scholars or graduate students supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. 14 Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15 Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested Reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review	15	
supported by the project, regardless of whether they reside at the submitting organization, any subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. 14 Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15 Dther Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration – If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
subrecipient organization, or at any organization participating in a simultaneously submitted collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. 14 Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		
collaborative proposal. Note New Annual Award Certification Requirement regarding Individual Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. 14 Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15 Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		
Development Plans for each postdoc/graduate student that has substantial support (1PM+) under the NSF award. 14 □ Data Management and Sharing Plan − 2 page limit		
under the NSF award. 14 □ Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit ■ Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15 □ Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable) ■ Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format ■ "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] ■ entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." ■ The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. ■ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 □ List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ■ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		· · ·
✓ Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15		
✓ Describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i. 15	14	Data Management and Sharing Plan – 2 page limit
15		
 ✓ Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. ✓ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ✓ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review 		research results. For full content requirements see PAPPG II.D.2.i.
 "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator] entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review 	15	Other Supplementary Documents (If applicable)
entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		✓ Letters of Collaboration - If applicable, 1 page limit – single sentence format
collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." ○ The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. ✓ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ✓ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		 "If the proposal submitted by Dr. [insert the full name of the Principal Investigator]
Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal." ○ The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. ✔ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ✔ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		entitled [insert the proposal title] is selected for funding by the NSF, it is my intent to
 The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. ✓ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ✓ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review 		collaborate and/or commit resources as detailed in the Project Description or the
investigator and must only state the intent to collaborate. ✓ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ✓ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		Facilities, Equipment or Other Resources section of the proposal."
 ✓ Please note that letters of support for the PI are not permitted unless required by a specific program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional ✓ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review 		 The letter(s) should NOT include a personal endorsement or recommendation of the
program solicitation. Inclusion of such letters may cause your proposal to be returned without review. 16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
review. 16 List of Suggested Reviewers/Reviewers not to include - optional A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		
16		
✓ A list of suggested reviewers who may be especially well qualified to review the proposal may be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review		review.
be included. Additionally, proposers may designate persons they would prefer not to review	16	
		1
the proposal.		
		the proposal.